

215959US3



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

#9
7-3-03
Robert
Election

IN RE APPLICATION OF :
Yasunobu KIDOURA, et al. : EXAMINER: YAN, R.
SERIAL NO: 09/986,281 :
FILED: November 8, 2001 : GROUP ART UNIT: 2854
FOR: STENCIL PRINTER :

RECEIVED
JUN 30 2003
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

PROVISIONAL ELECTION OF SPECIES

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

SIR:

In response to the Election of Species requirement dated May 27, 2003, the Applicants provisionally elect with traverse the species of Figure 6 and identifies Claims 1-24, 47-72, 95, and 96 as readable on the provisionally elected species. Claims 47, 48, 95, and 96 are identified as being generic.

The Applicants respectfully traverse the election requirement for several reasons.

First, the outstanding Official Action merely includes the conclusory statement that "[t]his application contains claims directed to ... patentably distinct species ..." without stating any basis whatsoever in support of such a finding. This is in violation of MPEP § 816, which states:

The particular reasons relied on by the examiner for holding the inventions as claimed are either independent or distinct should be concisely stated. A mere statement of conclusion is inadequate. The reasons upon which the conclusion is based should be given. ...

In the absence of any annunciated basis, it is respectfully submitted that the PTO clearly has not carried forward its burden of proof to establish distinctness.

Additionally, MPEP § 803 states:


... If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to distinct or independent inventions.

The claims of the present invention would appear to be part of an overlapping search area. Accordingly, the Applicants also respectfully traverse the outstanding Election requirement on the grounds that a search and examination of the entire application would not place a *serious* burden on the Examiner.

Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the requirement to elect a single species be withdrawn, and that a full examination on the merits of Claims 1-96 be conducted.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.



Gregory J. Maier
Registration No. 25,599
Attorney of Record

Christopher D. Ward
Registration No. 41,367




22850

Customer Number 22850
Tel. (703) 413-3000
Fax. (703) 413-2220
(OSMMN 05/03)
GJM/CDW/brf
I:\atty\cdw\215959US3\election.doc



Docket No.: 215959US3

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450



ATTORNEYS AT LAW

GREGORY J. MAIER
(703) 413-3000
GMAIER@OBLON.COM

CHRISTOPHER D. WARD
SENIOR ASSOCIATE
(703) 413-3000
CWARD@OBLON.COM

RECEIVED
JUN 27 2003
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

RE: Application Serial No.: 09/986,281
Applicants: Yasunobu KIDOURA, et al.
Filing Date: November 8, 2001
For: STENCIL PRINTER
Group Art Unit: 2854
Examiner: YAN, R.

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

PROVISIONAL ELECTION OF SPECIES

Our check in the amount of \$0.00 is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Gregory J. Maier

Registration No. 25,599

Christopher D. Ward

Registration No. 41,367



22850

(703) 413-3000 (phone)
(703) 413-2220 (fax)